IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.49442 of 2008
JITENDRA KUMAR SINGH
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH VIGILANCE, BIHAR, PATNA

2/ 18/12/2008. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State and for the Vigilance.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in a vigilance case registered on 29.1.2000 under Sections-409, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 477A, 109, 201 and 120B of I.P.C. and the provisions of P.C. Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to persuade this Court from the documents annexed to the F.I.R. that the petitioner had, in fact, pointed out that the goods had not been supplied.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Be that as it may, the fact remains that the prayer for anticipatory bail was rejected by this Court on 27.2.2001 in Cr. Misc. No.36330/2000 which came to be final. The amount in question is being deducted from the salary of the petitioner also. It is also true that co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail in Cr. Misc. Nos.11111 of 2000 and 13953 of 2001 in the years 2000 and 2001 and respectively which cannot bring any succour to the petitioner on his facts.

The application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

Any application for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner shall be considered by the court below on its own merits, in light of the aforesaid discussion, without being prejudiced by the present order of rejection.

KC **(Navin Sinha, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL